

Referee evaluation form

Referee:

Article:

Date of report:

	Please summarise your assessment of the paper:	Yes	May be	NO
1	Does the paper contain enough new material to warrant publication?			
2	Is the paper scientifically sound and not misleading?			
3	Does the paper include a sufficiently general introduction?			
4	Is the paper clearly written, concise and understandable?			
5	Are the subject matter and style of presentation appropriate for Web of Conferences?			
6	Is the length appropriate?			
7	Should the written English of the manuscript be edited?			
8	Is the impact of this paper likely to be high?			

Please evaluate the quality of the research:

1	Excellent	
2	Good	
3	Average	
4	Marginal	
5	Poor	

Referee's recommendation (check one, please give detailed reasons below)

	Please summarise your assessment of the paper:	Yes
1	Acceptable without revision. Please give detailed reasons in the report box below	
2	Acceptable after the authors have considered the optional revisions mentioned in the report	
3	Acceptable after the authors have made the revisions mentioned in the report	
4	Rejected because the scientific content does not correspond to the WOC standards	
5	Rejected because it contains basic errors	
6	Rejected because it is more suitable for another journal (please specify below if an EDP Sciences journal)	

Confidential comments to the editor, suggestions for alternative referees

Detailed scientific report to be communicated to the author

If revisions are required, do you wish to review the revised version?

Any other comments: